- 1		
1 2 3 4	REBECCA G. GUNDZIK (State Bar No. 138446) GUNDZIK GUNDZIK HEEGER LLP 14011 Ventura Blvd., Suite 206E Sherman Oaks, CA 91423 Telephone: (818) 290-7461	Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles  DEC 08 2022 Sherri R. Carter To
5 6 7 8 9	MARSHALL A. CASKEY (State Bar No. 65410) DANIEL M. HOLZMAN (State Bar No. 176663) N. CORY BARARI (State Bar No. 295306) CASKEY & HOLZMAN 24025 Park Sorrento, Ste. 400 Calabasas, CA 91302 Telephone: (818) 657-1070 Facsimile: (818) 297-1775	Sherri R. Carter Executive Officer/Clerk  Marisela Fregoso Deputy
10 11	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Maria del Socorro Jimenez, Elisa Lopez, Rosa Valdez and Osiris Gonzalez, indiv and on behalf of all others similarly situated	ridually
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
13	COUNTY OF LOS A	NGELES 19STCV 43210
14	Coordination Proceeding Special Title (CRC 3.550)	
15	T.J. MAXX WAGE AND HOUR CASES	Assigned to Hon. Elihu M. Berle
16	Included Actions:	Department SSC-6
17 18	Lortkipanidze v. T.J. Maxx of CA, LLC, et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. 19STCV43210	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT  Date: November 17, 2022
19 20	Rucker v. T.J. Maxx of CA, LLC, et al., Sonoma County Superior Court Case No. SCV-264483	Time: 9:00 a.m. Dept.: 6
21 22	Karine Gragyan v. T.J. Maxx of CA, LLC, et al., Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG20068810	Consolidated Complaint Filed: February 8, 2021
23 24 25	Karine Gragyan v. T.J. Maxx of CA, LLC, et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. 20STCV38799	
26		
27		

## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that:

- 1. Judgment is entered in favor of Plaintiffs Michael Lortkapanidze, Robert Rucker and Karine Gragyan ("Representative Plaintiffs" of "Plaintiffs") and against Defendants T.J. Maxx of CA, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company and T.J. Maxx of CA, LLC, a Virginia limited liability company ("Defendants") in the amount of \$2,300,000, which is to be distributed as set forth in the Order Granting Final Approval of Class and PAGA Action Settlement.
- 2. The Class in this action is defined Class consists of all non-exempt employees who worked for Defendants in California during the Class Period who did not sign an arbitration agreement and/or who opted out of the arbitration agreement. (August 11, 2016, through March 1, 2022).
- 3. Tam Chau and Carla Angelica De La Torre are the only class members that opted out of the Settlement and therefore all Class Members except Tam Chau and Carla Angelica De La Torre are bound by this Judgment.
- 4. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement (Paragraphs VI), upon the receipt by the Settlement Administer of the gross settlement amount of \$2,300,000 and Employee Withholding Share from Defendants, all Settlement Class Members are deemed to have released Defendants and their past, present and/or future officers, directors, members, managers, employees, agents, representatives, attorneys, insurers, partners, investors, shareholders, administrators, parent companies, subsidiaries, affiliates, divisions, predecessors, successors, assigns, and joint venturers, from all causes of action and factual or legal theories that were alleged, or could have been alleged, in the Complaint or arise from facts alleged in the Complaint, including all damages, penalties, interest, and other amounts recoverable under said claims, causes of action or legal theories of relief. The time period governing these Released Claims shall be from November 8, 2017, through the end of the Class Period (March 1, 2022) only. Provided, however, the Released Claims shall not include the claims released by PAGA Employees, which are defined separately below. Claims and damages that were not alleged in the Complaint (or any prior individual

complaint of any Representative Plaintiff, or in any subsequent operative Complaint) and could not be alleged based on the fact and legal theories alleged, and do not arise from the facts alleged in the Complaint, are specifically excluded from the release.

- 5. Upon the receipt by the Settlement Administer of the gross settlement amount of \$2,300,000 and other amounts due, as specified in the Order, from Defendant, all PAGA Employees will be deemed to have released Defendants and their past, present and/or future officers, directors, members, managers, employees, agents, representatives, attorneys, insurers, partners, investors, shareholders, administrators, parent companies, subsidiaries, affiliates, divisions, predecessors, successors, assigns, and joint venturers, from any and all claims for civil penalties under the PAGA that were alleged in, or arise out of the facts alleged in any letter sent to the LWDA by any of the Representative Plaintiffs or the consolidated Complaint including, but not limited to, failure to provide meal and rest breaks, failure to pay for all hours worked, failure to pay overtime, failure to provide accurate wage statements, and failure to pay all wages owed at termination. The Release Period for the PAGA Released Claims is May 22, 2018, through June 3, 2022.
- 6. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment, the Court retains exclusive and continuing jurisdiction over the litigation for purposes of supervising, implementing, interpreting and enforcing the terms of its Order granting Final Approval of the Settlement Agreement, and in order to conduct further hearing(s) on certification of distribution procedures.

Dated: 12/8/22

Hon, Elihu M. Berle

## 1 PROOF OF SERVICE 2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 3 I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen (18) and not a party to the within action. My business address is 14011 Ventura Blvd. 4 Suite 206E, Sherman Oaks, CA 91423. 5 On November 22, 2022, I served the following document described as 6 [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT 7 on the interested parties in this action: 8 by serving ( ) the original (X) true copies thereof as follows: **(X)** 9 Please see attached service list 10 BY MAIL () BY FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION I caused such envelope to be deposited in the mail at I caused said document(s) to be transmitted by facsimile 11 Los Angeles, California. The envelope was mailed with transmission to the name(s) and facsimile telephone postage thereon fully prepaid. I am "readily familiar" with number(s) of the person(s) named on the attached service 12 the firm's practice of collection and processing list. The facsimile machine telephone number of the correspondence for mailing. It is deposited with U.S. postal sending facsimile machine was (818) 918-2316. 13 service on that same day in the ordinary course of business. transmission report was issued by the sending facsimile I am aware that on motion of party served, service is machine confirming that the transmission was completed 14 presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage without error. A true and correct copy of said transmission meter date is more than one (1) day after date of deposit for report is attached hereto. 15 mailing in affidavit. BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY (XX) BY ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION 16 Said document was placed in an envelope designated by I caused the above-described document to be the express service center and placed for collection in a electronically served to the names and email addresses 17 box regularly maintained by said carrier with whom we listed on the Service List attached hereto have a direct billing account, to be delivered to the office 18 of the addressee listed above on the next business day. 19 (X) STATE I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. 20 () **FEDERAL** I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this 21 court at whose direction the service was made. 22 (X) EXECUTED on November 22, 2022, at Sherman Oaks, California. 23 24 25 26 Nicole Salazar

27

28

## **Electronic Service List**

Case:

T.J. Maxx Wage and Hour Cases

Case Info:

JCCP 5097, Los Angeles Superior Court

Caskey & Holzman

Cory Barari, Esq. (nbarari@caskeyholzman.com) Marshall Caskey, Esq. (<u>mcaskey@caskeyholzman.com</u>) Daniel Holzman, Esq. (<u>dholzman@caskeyholzman.com</u>)

24025 Park Sorrento, Suite 400 Calabasas, CA 91302

Phone: (818) 657-1070 Fax: (818) 297-1775

Gundzik Gundzik Heeger LLP

Aaron Gundzik, Esq. (aaron.gundzik@gghllp.com) Rebecca Gundzik, Esq. (rebecca.gundzik@gghlip.com)

14011 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 206E

Sherman Oaks, CA 91423 Phone: (818) 290-7461

Haffner Law PC

Joshua Haffner, Esq. (jhh@haffnerlawyers.com) Graham Lambert, Esq. (gl@haffnerlawyers.com)

445 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2625

Los Angeles, CA 90071 Phone: (213) 514-5681 Fax: (213) 514-5682

Lavi & Ebrahimian, LLP

Jordan Bello, Esq. (jbello@lelawfirm.com)

Vincent Granberry, Esq. (vgranberry@lelawfirm.com)

Joseph Lavi, Esq. (jlavi@lelawfirm.com)

Courtney Miller, Esq. (cmiller@lelawfirm.com)

8889 West Olympic Boulevard, Suite 200

Beverly Hills, CA 90211 Phone: (310) 432-0000 Fax: (310) 432-0001

Law Offices of Sahag Majarian II

Sahag Majarian II, Esq. (sahagii@aol.com)

18250 Ventura Boulevard Tarzana, CA 91356 Phone: (818) 609-0807

Fax: (818) 609-0892

Littler Mendelson, P.C.

Brittany McCarthy, Esq. (blmccarthy@littler.com)

Amy Todd-Gher, Esq. (atodd-gher@littler.com)

501 West Broadway, Suite 900

San Diego, CA 92101 Phone: (619) 232-0441

Fax: (619) 232-4302

Littler Mendelson, P.C.

J. Kevin Lilly, Esq. (klilly@littler.com) Bradley Schwan, Esq. (bschwan@littler.com)

2049 Century Park East, Fifth Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90067 Phone: (310) 553-0308 Fax: (310) 553-5583

Representing: Michael Lortkipanidze, individually and on behalf

of all others similarly situated

Representing: Michael Lortkipanidze, individually and on behalf

of all others similarly situated

Representing: Robert C. Rucker, an individual, on behalf of

himself and all others similarly situated

Representing: Karine Gragyan, on behalf of herself and others

similarly situated

Representing: Karine Gragyan, on behalf of herself and others

similarly situated

Representing: T.J. Maxx of CA, LLC

Representing: T.J. Maxx of CA, LLC